# THE ROLE OF CONSUMER DATA IN COMPETITION ENFORCEMENT

### **ASCOLA CONFERENCE**

DR. KONSTANTINA BANIA COMPETITION COUNSEL, EBU

15/06/2017



# CONSUMER DATA: ANY RELEVANCE TO COMPETITION ENFORCEMENT?

### Perhaps no relevance at all...

Data protection issues may "taint" purity of efficiency-driven analysis

**Q**: Is this really what we should focus on?

- -See e.g. Asnef/Equifax, Facebook/Whatsapp, etc.
- -See Google/DoubleClick, FTC File No. 071-0170
- Consumers are in the position to assess the risks of disclosure or misuse of their information

**Q**: Is this really the case?

-See e.g. Pew RC (2014), Moretti and Naughton (2014), etc.



### MARKET DEFINITION: DATA PROTECTION AS A QUALITY PARAMETER

Is decisional practice useful?

-No money? No market

See, for instance, EC NewsCorp/Telepiù, DoJ United States v. Bain Capital, EC Facebook/Whatsapp, etc.

**Q**: Shortcomings of the 'trade relationship' criterion?

- -Quality considerations (e.g. level of data protection) are cast aside. See e.g. Pew RC (2016)
- -See e.g. *UPS/TNT* (express v. slower delivery services), *Universal/Sara Lee* (deodorant's skin-care attributes), etc.
- -**Sol'n:** Consumer surveys (conjoint analysis). See e.g. *Ryanair/Aer Lingus*



### COLLECTION & PROCESSING OF USER DATA AS EXPLOITATIVE ABUSE

#### Case of 'excessive data'?

-'Traditional' case law (e.g. *United Brands*)

Excessive prices: Prices that have 'no reasonable relation to the economic value of the product supplied' (profit margin is 'excessive', and price is 'unfair')

-Is 'traditional' case law irrelevant?

NOT if we take a closer look at:

- -New methodologies (see e.g. OECD 2013),
- -Data protection regulation (see e.g. Data Protection Directive, Article 6(1)(b))
- -Are 'transparency' remedies new to competition enforcement? See e.g. Case AT.39398, VISA MIF



### MERGER CONTROL: 'DATA CONCENTRATION'

#### -Contractual restrictions preventing data sharing

-See e.g. FTC *Google/Doubleclick* and EC Facebook/Whatsapp

#### -Good luck enforcing that!

See e.g. EC Facebook/Whatsapp (2017)

#### -Level of protection afforded by data protection rules

- -See e.g. EC Microsoft/LinkedIn
- -Anticipated regulatory developments can (and should) be considered....
  - -See e.g. E.ON/MOL & NYSE Euronext/Deutsche Börse
- -....But only when meaningfully assessed



## EXCLUSIONARY CONDUCT: DATA PORTABILITY RESTRICTIONS

#### -Relevance for competition law?

Switching costs = lock-in effects = barriers to enter and expand

### -The (bad) example of Google's restrictions on advertisers:

- -Were Google advertisers prevented from advertising with other platforms? **NO**
- -Were advertisers prevented from using their own data portability tools? **NO**
- -Put 2+2 together: Should Google be forced to lift data portability restrictions? ....



#### CONCLUSIONS

- Consumer data considerations **not** irrelevant to competition enforcement
  -Markets evolve so should competition policy!
- Digital markets are moving fast!
  - -Difficult to *strike the right balance* between:

**ACCURACY** (why punish a successful firm?) &

**SPEED** (network effects = difficult to challenge offender)

#### Anything we can do?

- Information is king (sector inquiries, close cooperation with experts & regulators, the industry, etc.)
- Commitments route is faster but BEWARE:
  - Remedies must be 'adequate' to address competition concerns
  - General framework must enable CAs to modify remedies ex post

